# IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.: 10/661,159

Confirmation No.: 6784

Applicant(s): Vargas

Filing Date: 12 September 2003

Title: "Shape-Transferring Cannula System

and Method of Use"

TC/Art Unit: 3736

TC Examiner: Hoekstra, J.

Petitions Examiner: Johnson, N.

Docket No.: ENDOV-001/US

**Supplemental Petition** 

Date: 15 June 2010

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Via Electronic Filing System

Sir:

Office of Petitions Examiner Nancy Johnson has asked that Applicant submit a supplemental petition to make portions of the petition filed 24 March 2010 more legible.

Accordingly, Applicant submits this supplemental petition that includes (a) the originally filed petition text and Exhibit 1, and (b) portions originally filed as Exhibit 1 enlarged to enhance 98/03/2010 LDIEP1 86990998 503424 10561159 of FC:1453 1620,90 DA

Paper Dated: 15 June 2010

Applicant respectfully requests that this petition be granted, that the above-referenced application be revived, and that prosecution be continued in accordance with the papers filed on 24 March 2010.

Text from the originally filed petition.

Applicant respectfully petitions to withdraw a holding of abandonment, because Applicant failed to receive a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

I.

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "Office") allegedly mailed a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (the "Notice") for this application on 17 November 2009. It appears that this Notice was mailed in response to Applicant's amendment document filed on 7 October 2009. As the Notice appears in the Patent Information and Retrieval System, the Notice states that the amendment document was non-compliant because the claim status identifier "Amended" should instead be "Currently Amended".

II.

In accordance with the information outlined in the Manual of Patent Examining

Procedure (8th Ed. Rev. Jul. 2008), § 711.03(c), Applicant provides the following statements and information.

A. The system used to record Office actions at Intuitive Surgical, Inc. begins with the receipt of all mail addressed to the correspondence address of record associated with this application being delivered to a central mail room. There, the mail is sorted by recipient address within the company. Mail from the Office is placed in a mail receptacle for the legal department. At least once each day, a clerk in the legal department retrieves the mail from this receptacle.

Paper Dated: 15 June 2010

Mail from the Office is first opened and examined by employee Anne Killingsworth, who functions as the legal department's docketing clerk. If a paper from the Office indicates that a response is required by a particular deadline, Anne does two things. First, she retrieves the paper file associated with the particular application and clips the paper to the outside of the file.

Second, she enters the due date in the legal department's computerized docketing system record associated with the particular application. For situations that require a response be filed within one month of the paper's mailing date, Anne's general practice is to call one of the legal department's patent attorney's immediate attention to the paper. Anne prepares action due lists for all deadlines entered into the legal department's computerized docketing system, and she provides these lists to the appropriate legal department attorney.

- B. The legal department uses the computerized Dennemeyer Intellectual Asset

  Management System (DIAMS) version 8.04.09.4, provided by Dennemeyer & Co., to record due
  dates associated with papers received from the Office. This is a reliable system. Entry data for
  each patent application includes the Office's application number, the corresponding attorney
  docket number, and the due date for any response required by a paper received from the Office.
- C. To the best of the undersigned attorney's information and belief, the Notice was not received at Intuitive Surgical, Inc. The undersigned attorney has searched the legal department mail receptacle in the mail room and the surrounding area (e.g., under the receptacle shelves in case the Notice had somehow fallen), the shelf area in which the paper record associated with this application is kept, and the contents the paper record associated with this application but was unable to find the Notice.
- D. To the best of the undersigned attorney's information and belief, the legal department first learned that the Notice had been mailed when the legal department received a Notice of

Paper Dated: 15 June 2010

Abandonment that the Office appears to have mailed on 19 February 2010. Upon receipt of this Notice of Abandonment, Anne Killingsworth accessed the Office's Patent Application
Information Retrieval system and was able to download a copy of the Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

E. To the best of the undersigned attorney's information and belief, the docketing system does not provide a "master docket for the firm" for a particular date range in any usable form. In lieu of such a "master docket", attached to this Petition as Exhibit 1 are (i) an image of the portion of the docketing system record that is associated with this application's identifying details, and (ii) two images that show the portion of the docketing system record that is associated with this application's due dates (completed and pending). These images indicate that the due date associated with the Notice was not entered into the legal department's docketing system, and they are submitted as further evidence that the Notice was not received. The images show that some portion of the record associated with this application was updated on 24 November 2009, but again, there is no evidence that any due date associated with the Notice was entered. After discussing this matter with Anne Killingsworth, the undersigned attorney was unable to determine what portion, if any, of this record was updated on 24 November 2009.

III.

Applicant respectfully petitions the Director under 37 C.F.R. § 1.181(a) to withdraw the holding of abandonment. Accordingly, Applicant believes that no petition fee is due.

If, however, the Office determines that this Petition is more correctly made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(a) or 1.137(b), then to speed handling of this Petition the Office is authorized to deduct from Deposit Account No. 503404 \$540.00 (for § 1.137(a)) or \$1620.00 (for § 1.137(b)) as may be necessary.

Paper Dated: 15 June 2010

In addition, the Office is authorized to deduct from Deposit Account No. 503404 any additional fees that may be due to reopen prosecution of this application. Large entity fees apply.

IV.

Applicant includes with this Petition a corrected version of the amendment paper filed 7 October 2009. This corrected version includes the correct claim status identifiers for all claims.

Applicant respectfully requests the Director grant this petition. Please contact the undersigned attorney at 408-523-2460 if there are any questions.

Respectfully Submitted,

Christopher B. Allenby Registration No. 45,906

# Notice of References Cited Application/Control No. | Applicant(s)/Patent Under | Reexamination | VARGAS, JAIME SALVADOR | Examiner | Art Unit | Kristin D. Rogers | 3736 | Page 1 of 1

# U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,666,847	12-2003	Secrest et al.	604/164.01
*	В	US-4294233	10-1981	Takahashi, Nagashige –	128/4
*	С	US-6146355	11-2000	Biggs, Robert C.	604/95.01
*	D	US-5251611	10-1993	Zehel et al.	128/4
*	Ε	US-5944690	08-1999	Falwell et al.	604/95
	F	US-			
	G	US-			
	Н	US-			
	-	US-			· ·
	j	US-			
	К	US-			
	۲	US-			
	М	US-			

### FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name '	Classification
	N	•				
	0					
	Р					
	α					
	R					
	s					
	Т					

## NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	υ	
	٧	
	w	
	×	

\*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.